



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEM Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			www.uspto.gov	,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOGUTE		
09/254,316	03/04/1999		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		KATSUTOMO OHZEKI	946-113PCT	6799	
2292	7590 08/16/2002				
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH & E	SIBCH			
PO BOX 747	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER	
FALLS CHUR					
			HENDRICKSON, STUART L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				TALER NUMBER	
			1754	21	
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) -	'/'/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit				
	Mahyo	on 1209				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address-				
P riod for Reply	7					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DAT	E			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•			
☐ This action is FINAL.		•				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.	or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	secution as to the merits is closed in				
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s)						
Of the above claim(s)) <u>.</u>			
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.				
☑(Claim(s) (-) ((- (1)) ((- (1)) ((- (1))) ((- (1)) ((- (1))) ((- (1))) ((- (1)) ((- (1))) ((- (is/are rejected.					
☑ Claim(s) 9, 0						
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement				
Application Papers	is □ approved					
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ approved □ disapproved. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner 						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a))–(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received:						
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	nt rview Summary, PTO-413				
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	•	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat int Drawing Revi w, PTO-948		Oth r				
Office Action Summary						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

7

Part of Paper No. 2

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/254,316

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The request filed on 6/17/02 for Continued Examination (RCE) based on parent Application No. 09/254316 is acceptable and a RCE has been established.

Claims 1-3, 7, 8 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the abstract of JP 09147916.

The abstract teaches Lithium in cellulose (or acrylic)-coated graphite. A single, thin layer is taught which appears consistent with the teaching of 0.01 % polymer in the specification. Thus, no differences are seen.

Applicant's arguments filed 6/17/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims do not exclude the additional chalcogen of '916, even if it were required by the reference. Moreover, it appears to be an optional component ('is feasible', response pg. 6). The consisting essentially language limits only the 'surface active material', not the structure of the whole assembly claimed. A showing versus the material of the reference is suggested.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson

examiner Art Unit 1754